IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

| THE HONORABLE LOUIE | § |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| GOHMERT, et al., | § |
| | § |
| Plaintiffs, | § |
| | § |
| v. | § |
| | § |
| THE HONORABLE MICHAEL R. | ş |
| PENCE, IN HIS OFFICIAL | ş |
| CAPACITY AS VICE PRESIDENT OF | ş |
| THE UNITED STATES, | ş |
| | s § |
| Defendant. | 8 8 |
| Detenuant. | 8 |

Case No. 6:20-cv-660-JDK

FINAL JUDGMENT

The Court, having considered Plaintiffs' case and rendered its decision by opinion issued this same date, hereby enters **FINAL JUDGMENT**.

It is ORDERED that Plaintiff's case is DISMISSED WITHOUT

PREJUDICE for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. All pending motions are

DENIED as **MOOT**.¹

The Clerk of the Court is instructed to close this case.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **1st** day of **January**, **2021**.

JEREMYD. KERNODLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ The Court notes that there are several pending motions to intervene. See Docket Nos. 15, 19, 25, 36. "An existing suit within the court's jurisdiction is a prerequisite of an intervention." Kendrick v. Kendrick, 16 F.2d 744, 745 (5th Cir. 1926). Because the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over this case, there is no live case or controversy in which the interested parties can intervene.